

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/015,013	12/11/2001	Carroll Philip Gossett	16113-384001	2062
26192 7590 04408/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			EXAMINER	
			TSE, YOUNG TOI	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/015,013	GOSSETT, CARROLL PHILIP				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	YOUNG T. TSE	2611				
Il Participants: Status of Application: Final Rejection						
(1) <u>YOUNG T. TSE</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>MATTHEW J. SMYTH</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: 3 April 2008	Time: <u>11:30 A.M.</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 1, 30, 31						
Prior art documents discussed: Li						
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:				
Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
/YOUNG T. TSE/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Application No. 10/015,013

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's representitive Mr. Smyth provides the examiner the proposed amendments of claims 1 and 30-31 to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li. Based on the proposed amendments, claims 1 and 30-31 seem not overcome the prior art rejection by Li. Applicant may further provide proposed amedments to the examiner of file an RCE in response to the Final office action mailed on February 5, 2008.